	Case 3:12-cv-00492-GPC-RBB Documer	nt 109 Filed 01/03/13	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5 6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	PAUL HUPP,	CASE NO. 12	2-cv-0492-GPC-RBB
12 13	Plaintiff, vs.		RECTING PLAINTIFF PPLEMENTAL
14	SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	[DKT. NO. 1	07]
15	Defendants.		
16	On November 19, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiff his motion for extension of time to file		
17	a fourth amended complaint and dismissed previous motions to dismiss as moot. (Dkt. No. 101.)		
18 19	Plaintiff was granted until December 14, 2012 to file his fourth amended complaint. (<u>Id</u> .) On		
20	December 17, 2012, three days after the filing deadline for the fourth amended complaint had		
21	passed, Plaintiff filed another motion for extension of time to file his fourth amended complaint.		
22	(Dkt. No. 107.) Having reviewed the motion, and the Court requests supplemental information		
23	regarding the referenced state court criminal matter and its relevance to the current pending case.		
24	Accordingly, Plaintiff shall file on or by <u>January 23, 2013,</u> a supplemental briefing that includes		
25	the case file number of the state court criminal action, and an explanation of how the criminal case		
26	is related to the instant one.		
27			
28			
		- 1 -	12-cv-0492-GPC-RBB

22 23

DISCUSSION

This is Plaintiff's fourth request for extension of time to file a fourth amended complaint. On September 5, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file a Fourth Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 66.) Defendants then filed motions to dismiss the third amended complaint. (Dkt. Nos. 72-73.)

On September 26, 2012 Judge Gonzalez denied Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a Fourth Amended Complaint without prejudice and ordered that on or before October 15, 2012, Plaintiff file a Fourth Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 84.) On October 16, 2012, Plaintiff filed an ex parte motion for extension of time to file a Fourth Amended Complaint, seeking an extension until November 12, 2012. (Dkt. No. 93.)

On October 22, 2012, this case was transferred to the undersigned judge and all pending hearing dates were vacated. (Dkt. No. 94.) On November 2, 2012, Plaintiff filed a third motion for extension of time to file a Fourth Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 98.) The Court reviewed Plaintiff's motion, and granted an extension of time until December 14, 2012 to file the fourth amended complaint. (Dkt. No. 107.) Plaintiff now submits his fourth request for an extension of time to file the fourth amended complaint. His main reason for seeking an extension of time is to "preserve work product/strategy/theory in a current pending state criminal case." He cites to Owens v. Kaiser, in which the Ninth Circuit asks the Court to consider any of the four factors in considering leave under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a): "bad faith, undue delay, prejudice to the opposing party, and/or futility." Owens v. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., 244 F.3d 708 (9th Cir. 2001). Given that the Court has insufficient information to determine whether Plaintiff's reason for a continuance has any merit, the Court instructs Plaintiff to file a supplemental briefing on or before January 23, 2013.

DATED: January 3, 2013

HON. GONZALO P. CURIEL Unite States District Judge

Consalo Cono

- 2 -